

By Michael S. Coffman, Ph.D.

s described in the Summer 2014 story in *RANGE*, "The United EPA of America," the Environmental Protection Agency is attempting to expand its jurisdiction from "navigable waters of the U.S." as defined in the Clean Water Act to every mud puddle. The new jurisdiction would include intermittent streams, puddles and wetlands. Since our story was published there has been increasing opposition to the expansion of jurisdiction because it would extend EPA authority over almost every acre and citizen in America.

The impact can easily be seen in these two new maps that the EPA is using to define its new jurisdiction. The yellow in the Streams and Waterbodies map are intermittent streams that have water in them only occasionally, sometimes separated by years of being dry. The enlargements illustrate more detailed examples of the maps. By using these maps to define jurisdiction, very few areas in the United States will *not* be under EPA control requiring residents to get expensive and time-consuming government permits for doing anything.

Since 1974, several federal agencies, including the EPA, have collaborated to draw the maps. The National Wetlands Inventory map has not yet been completed, as evidenced by blank counties and states. While the mapping effort was public information and posted in recent years on the Internet, strangely no maps were posted.

Rep. Lamar (R-TX), chairman of the Science, Space & Technology Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, and others on the committee were unaware of the magnitude of the efforts to map every depression that would hold water after rain, and were certainly not aware of the use of these maps to define EPA's new jurisdiction. When the committee learned of the maps' existence and how they were being kept secret, Lamar demanded that the EPA give the committee the digital maps, which were immediately posted at http://science.house.gov/epa-maps-state-2013.

See map next page.

