Futurist and scholar Dr. Parag Khanna is a rising academic star in high demand among the global elite. He is young, handsome, educated, articulate and, most importantly, he has a radical plan for us as explained in his new book, “Technocracy in America: Rise of the Info-State.”

How radical?

Well, Khanna (who is a senior research fellow at the National University of Singapore) recommends, for instance, that the Senate be abolished, the Constitution be turned over to the Supreme Court, and a “collective presidency” be established. He sees a borderless world where city-states will become the new organizational blocks of society. The new economy will be “green,” where all resources are carefully metered out and alternative green energy completely replaces “brown” hydrocarbon-based energy.

Okay, put aside what you know about Marxism, communism, socialism and fascism. Although there are overlaps and similarities, technocracy is none of these, but once you understand it, you will never see the world quite the same again.

Technocracy actually has a long history in the United States, dating back to 1932 when it was carefully codified by prominent scientists and engineers who were employed at Columbia University in New York City. They surmised that capitalism and free enterprise were dead, thanks to the Great Depression, and that it was up to them to design a replacement economic system that would be run by scientists and engineers.

Technocracy would create scientifically engineered, micro-managed cities, linked together in organizational units called technates. Citizens therein would receive automatic and regular allocations of energy currency to spend on goods and services priced according to the energy that was required to make them. Technocrats saw this as the perfect “balanced system.”

Private property? Forget it. All physical resources would be carefully and efficiently managed by the technocrat rulers, for the good of all the people. Your suitable education and employment would be determined by the scientific analysis of your capabilities and potential. Not unsurprisingly, early technocrats hated politicians, whom they blamed for the inept mismanagement of society. In 1933, one prominent technocrat even urged President-elect Franklin Delano Roosevelt to simply declare himself dictator in order to dump Congress and summarily set up technocracy in North America.

The definition of technocracy appeared in The Technocrat in 1938: “Technocracy is the science of social engineering, the scientific operation of the entire social mechanism to produce and distribute goods and services to the entire population.”

This can be summarized as “a scientific dictatorship.”

Technocracy Reborned

As Sustainable Development

By the end of the ’30s, America caught on to the radical nature of technocracy and generally rejected it, especially as World War II began to pull the world out of economic depression. For almost 30 years thereafter, it was ignored as just another has-been curiosity of history.

That is until 1970, when a young professor at Columbia University, Zbigniew Brzezinski, wrote a book called “Between Two Ages: America’s Role in the Technocratic Era” that was tightly correlated to historic technocracy. Financier David Rockefeller quickly recognized Brzezinski’s genius and wrapped him into co-founding the elitist Trilateral Commission in 1973. Their goal was to create a new international economic order.

Rockefeller and Brzezinski subsequently picked less than 300 academics, bankers, industrialists, media representatives and politicians from North America, Europe and Japan to accomplish the task. They promoted interdependence between nations, restructured governments and harmonized global trade regulations through trade agreements.

In 1983, the United Nations asked Trilateral Commission member Gro Harlem Brundtland from Denmark to create and chair an “independent” task force called the World Commission on Environment and Development, more commonly known today as the Brundtland Commission. Her goal was to create a doctrine that would unite and engage countries to pursue sustainable development. Brundtland finished her work in 1987 with the publication of a book, “Our Common Future,” which elevated sustainable development as a new global mantra that subsequently spread like wildfire. The United Nations itself explained
how: “The Brundtland Report laid the groundwork for the convening of the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro five years later. Held in June 1992, the Rio Summit was the largest environmental conference ever organized, bringing together more than 30,000 participants, including more than one hundred heads of state.”

Of course, this was the very meeting that produced the infamous Agenda 21 doctrine known as the “Agenda for the 21st Century.” Blessed by Trilateral President George H.W. Bush in Rio and from these not-so-humble beginnings a plethora of strategically related programs have sprung forth: Biodiversity, Green Economy, Smart Growth, Smart Grid, Common Core Education Standards, Smart Cities, Global Warming, Cap and Trade, New Urban Agenda, the 2030 Agenda, and so on.

**Technocracy Is Sustainable Development**

As I have thoroughly documented in my book, “Technocracy Rising: The Trojan Horse of Global Transformation,” sustainable development is an economic system that is deeply rooted in historic technocracy from the 1930s; indeed, the two terms are virtually synonymous. Both are resource-based, demanding 100 percent control over all production and consumption with energy placed at the center of everything. Property rights are sacrificed for the global common good, and technocrats (aka scientists and engineers) will run the whole societal machine like a finely tuned Swiss watch. To say that this cabal of technocrats is an egotistical bunch is an understatement.

Until the release of Khanna’s book, the global elite have avoided using the word technocracy. Khanna has not only substantively validated my “Technocracy Rising” and its conclusions, but he has also revealed the ultraradical nature of technocracy.

Someone will say, “Surely they can’t replace an entire economic system!” Really? Someone should tell that to the United Nations. In 2015, its head of climate change at the U.N., Christiana Figueres, clearly stated: “This is the first time in the history of mankind that we are setting ourselves the task of intentionally, within a defined period of time, to change the economic development model that has been reigning for at least 150 years, since the industrial revolution.”

There is nothing to read between the lines here. The United Nations has literally declared war on free enterprise and capitalism: it has a plan, a specific timetable, direct intent, and legions of willing technocrats to bring it about (many paid for by American taxpayers).

More recently, the U.N.’s Agenda 2030 (officially called 2030 Agenda) and New Urban Agenda agreements have been adopted by more than 190 nations of the world.

Agenda 2030 established the 17 new and expanded Sustainable Development Goals for the next 15 years, while the New Urban Agenda sets the standards for Smart Cities throughout the world. Between the two initiatives, U.N. leaders are seeking to control 100 percent of all production and 100 percent of all consumption. They, in turn, will utilize science and the scientific method to restructure and control the entire global economy and society.

**Clear and Present Danger**

People will not be moved to action on any issue, no matter how serious, unless they become sufficiently alarmed. The reason that technocracy (aka sustainable development) continues to saturate America is because people are not yet alarmed and see no clear and present danger. Some are off fighting communism and socialism while missing technocracy altogether.

Dr. Khanna would have us believe that democracy in America is broken, but he offers no acknowledgement that the United States is actually a constitutional republic and not a democracy. Still, broken as he thinks we are, he blithely prescribes technocracy and a radical restructuring of our governmental system as the solution to fix all possible ills.

Be assured that Khanna has not written “Technocracy in America” for such commenters as the American public. No, he is addressing the global elite who have been pushing technocracy since at least 1973. These are the people who think their ship is about to come in, whose train is about to arrive, whose plane is about to land. Even as they hang on his every word, remember that Khanna didn’t dream this stuff up: he is merely bringing it out of the closet.

While Khanna concluded in TIME that “a bit more technocracy could ensure America’s best days aren’t behind it,” this writer suggests the polar opposite: technocracy will destroy America as a nation and as a culture. It will trample freedom and liberty.

If there is any good news, it is that Americans became sufficiently alarmed in the 1930s to pointedly reject technocracy and thus saved themselves from the certain tyranny of scientific dictatorship. Today, it’s your opportunity to reject it again.

Be warned! Be alarmed!

Patrick Wood is an author and lecturer specializing in globalization for 40 years. His most recent book, “Technocracy Rising: The Trojan Horse of Global Transformation,” is available at Amazon.com and Technocracy.News. He is also founder and editor-in-chief of Technocracy News and Trends (Technocracy.News), which curates news from around the world related to technocracy and its various programs. Please support him.

**Parag Khanna proposes a “direct technocracy” for America with a radical new organizational structure, paving the way for the United Nations and the technocrat elite to grab absolute control over our entire economy, all resources and all citizens, through America into a data-driven scientific dictatorship.**